

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 Northern District of California

3

4 RICHARD DUSTE,

No. CV08-03980 MEJ

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

ORDER RE: PROPOSED JUDGMENT

7 CHEVRON PRODUCTS CO., INC.,

8 Defendant.

9 On September 2, 2010, the Court entered its *Order re Defendant's Motion for Summary*
10 *Judgment*, in which the Court granted Defendant Chevron U.S.A. Inc.'s motion for summary
11 judgment as to Plaintiff Richard A. Duste's claims for negligence, intentional interference with
12 contractual relations, negligent interference with contractual relations, intentional infliction of
13 emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress, libel, breach of contract, and violation
14 of California Business & Professions Code section 17200, et seq.. Dkt. No. 36. On October 7,
15 2011, a jury returned a verdict on October 7, 2011 after trial in favor of Plaintiff and against
16 Defendant on Plaintiff's claim for slander. Dkt. No. 81.

17 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS the parties to meet and confer by November 15, 2011, and
18 thereafter file a joint proposed judgment. If one party wishes to include a term to which the other
19 party objects, the term shall be marked as "disputed" and included within the proposed judgment, in
20 the place where the party proposing the term believes it should be included. If there are any terms
21 on which the parties disagree as to form and/or content, both parties' proposed terms shall be
22 included in one section marked as "disputed." Argument and authority for and against each disputed
23 term shall be filed as a separate joint document. The parties shall ensure that the proposed judgment
24 is submitted as a Word or WordPerfect document via email to mejpo@cand.uscourts.gov.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26

27 Dated: November 3, 2011

28



Maria-Elena James
Chief United States Magistrate Judge